

A novel approach to analyzing toxicity in lymphoma clinical trials

Gita Thanarajasingam, MD Mayo Clinic Division of Hematology

Alliance Spring Group Meeting, May 2016

Presentation Objectives

- Introduce and demonstrate a novel method of AE assessment that includes duration as well as grade of toxicity: Toxicity over Time (ToxT) analysis
- Propose application of ToxT analysis to a completed Alliance lymphoma clinical trial: CALGB 50401 (Alliance)



Current Approach: Max Grade Only

Gastrointestinal disorders					
	Grade				
Adverse Event	1	2	3	4	5
Diarrhea	Increase of <4 stools per day over baseline; mild increase in ostomy output compared to baseline	Increase of 4 - 6 stools per day over baseline; moderate increase in ostomy output compared to baseline	Increase of >=7 stools per day over baseline; incontinence; hospitalization indicated; severe increase in ostomy output compared to baseline; limiting self care ADL	Life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated	Death
Definition: A disorder character	ized by frequent and watery bow	el movements.			

Table 3. Toxicit	y Grade ≥ 3 and Se	econd-Line Treatmen	t
Toxicity Grade ≥3	Oxaliplatin and Irinotecan (n = 256)	Oxaliplatin and Fluorouracil Plus Leucovorin (n = 258)	<i>P</i> ‡
Nausea	19	6	.001
Vomiting	22	3	.001
Diarrhea	24	12	.001
Febrile neutropenia	11	4	.002
Dehydration	6	4	.41
Paresthesias	7	18	.001
Neutropenia	36	50	.002

	Any Grade		Grade 3 to 4	
Adverse Event	No.	%	No.	%
Thrombocytopenia	110	85	102	75
Diarrhea	85	66	4	3
Nausea	77	60	1	
Anemia	49	38	27	2
Fatigue	49	38	12	
Vomiting	42	33	4	;
Neutropenia	34	26	27	2
Decreased appetite	32	25	4	;
Dysgeusia	19	15	1	
Constipation	15	12	_	
Asthenia	14	11	3	
Leukopenia	13	10	7	
Hypothyroidism	13	10	_	



National Cancer Institute. CTCAE v.4.0. Bethesda, MD: Us. Department of Health and Human Services; 2009 Goldberg et al. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:23-30. Younes et al. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:2197-203.

Conventional AE Evaluation is Inadequate

- Does not account for the time profile of AEs
 - When do they arise?
 - How long will they last?
 - When will they be worst?
- Does not capture the impact of chronic, low grade toxicity on the ability to continue treatment
- Does not incorporate patient reported outcomes (PRO)



Basch E. N Engl J Med 2013; 369;5:397-400 Trotti A. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:5121-5127 Thanarajasingam G et al. J Natl Cancer Inst 2015. 107(10)

Lymphoma therapy has changed

- Rapid expansion of *continuously administered* therapies against lymphoma
 - Targeted agents
 - Immunotherapy
 - Maintenance regimens
- Continuous therapies make AE evaluation more problematic



Toxicity over Time (ToxT) Analytic Approach

- Standardized package of statistical tools that are more comprehensive and involved that conventional methods for AE analysis
- Uncovers aspects of toxicity that are clinically relevant and missed in traditional analyses
- A novel way to explore the impact of time-dependent AEs on lymphoma patients
- Previously demonstrated in a phase III GI trial and phase II symptom control trial (Alliance/NCCTG N9741 and 979254)



AE Incidence/Grade by Cycle



Figure 1: Incidence of diarrhoea in patients given FOLFOX and IROX in NCCTG N9741 by drug cycle and adverse event grade

ALLIANCE FOR CLINICAL TRIALS IN ONCOLOGY

FOLFOX=5-fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin. IROX=irinotecan plus oxaliplatin. CTCAE=Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

Stream Plot: AEs by Cycle (one study arm)



Figure 2: Adverse event trajectory over time

CTCAE=Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events. FOLFOX=5-fluorouracilplus oxaliplatin. IROX=irinotecan plus oxaliplatin. (A) Stream plot of toxic effects of FOLFOX by cycle in NCCTG N9741.



Time-to-Event Analysis



Figure 4: Time-to-event analyses for onset of adverse events

FOLFOX=5-fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin. IROX=irinotecan plus oxaliplatin. (A) Time to grade 2 or worse diarrhoea in patients given FOLFOX and IROX in NCCTG N9741. (B) Median time to first occurrence and worst grade toxic effect in patients given IROX in NCCTG N9741.



Area Under Curve (AUC)



Figure 6: AUC analysis to compare adverse events over time

AUC=area under the curve. FOLFOX=5-fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin. IROX=irinotecan plus oxaliplatin. (A) Conceptual example of AUC analysis. (B) Application of AUC analysis, mean diarrhoea grade over time in patients given FOLFOX and IROX in NCCTG N9741.



Application to lymphoma

Randomized Trial of Lenalidomide Alone Versus Lenalidomide Plus Rituximab in Patients With Recurrent Follicular Lymphoma: CALGB 50401 (Alliance)

John P. Leonard, Sin-Ho Jung, Jeffrey Johnson, Brandelyn N. Pitcher, Nancy L. Bartlett, Kristie A. Blum, Myron Czuczman, Jeffrey K. Giguere, and Bruce D. Cheson

More time-dependent, low grade toxicity data to assess



	% of Patients			
	L (n = 45)		LR (n = 46)	
Adverse Event	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 3	Grade 4
Lymphopenia	1	0	3	0
Neutrophils	16	0	16	4
Platelets	0	0	4	0
Fatigue 🗲	9	0	11	2
Rash	2	2	4	0
AST	4	0	0	0
Infection (with neutropenia)	4	0	2	0
Thrombosis	9	7	2	2



Leonard et al. J Clin Oncol 2015;33(31):3635-40

Project Hypothesis/Aim

Hypothesis: ToxT method uncovers more timedependent toxicity from LR than R that is missed by conventional AE reporting methods that use only maximum grade

Specific Aim: To demonstrate that a time-dependent measure of toxicity, AUC, is superior to conventional maximum grade AE reporting at predicting clinical outcomes:

- Time to dose reduction
- Time to treatment discontinuation
- Quality of life (QOL)



Methods

AUC for each AE of interest

Time to dose reduction (Cox model) Time to treatment discontinuation (Cox model) QOL (Linear regression)



Timeline for Achievement

- **Summer 2016**: Obtain toxicity CALGB 50401 toxicity data. Reformat and reorganize data for compatibility to ToxT.
- Fall 2016: Review outputs with Alliance statistics team and isolate clinically relevant time-dependent toxicity demonstrated by ToxT analysis.
- Winter 2017: Perform further analyses of time-dependent toxicity as a predictor of clinical outcome. Submit abstract to American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) demonstrating longitudinal AE analysis and relevance to clinical outcome in lymphoma.
- Spring 2017: Review preliminary data with Alliance Health
 Outcomes leadership. Write and submit finalized manuscript.



Summary

- Current methods of AE assessment are inadequate in the era of chronically administered lymphoma therapy
- The ToxT approach can capture time-dependent toxicity and chronic low grade AEs
- We propose application of longitudinal toxicity analysis to CALGB (Alliance) 50401



Thank you

- Jeff Sloan, PhD
- Axel Grothey, MD
- Pamela Atherton, MS
- Paul Novotny, MS
- Charles Loprinzi, MD
- Amylou Dueck, PhD
- Thomas Witzig, MD
- Alliance Health Outcomes Committee



Q & A

